UNITED STA O I'P E NOV 0 1 2007	\	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22. www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO 11 EMAN ELLI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,983 09/	15/2003	Donald Pierre Bourgon		4639
7590 09/28/2007 Pierre Bourgon		7	EXAMINER	
915 First Street East	KIM, TAE JUN			
Cornwall, ON K6H-1N3 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OH WIDIT			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	0.5							
	Application No.	. 40	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/664,983	- 403 2007	BOURGON, DONAL	D PIERRE				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examine NOV 0 1	Ton R	Art Unit					
	Ted Kim	- C.	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe				lress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amer otice of Appeal (with appo ce with 37 CFR 1.114. To	ndment, af eal fee) in he reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		in the final rejection, wh	nichover is later. In				
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the correspond shortened statutory period to than three months after the).	ding amount for reply orig e mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off ate of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of fi	ilina a briat	f will not be entered b	òcauso				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 8. Nowly proposed or amonded claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amondment canceling the								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, ovided below or appende	or b) 🗌 w ed.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
10. [] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the	on of the status of the cla	aims atter (entry is below or attac	cnea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the a	pplication	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No	(s)						
			/Ted Kim/ Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's claimed invention is not patentably distinct from the applied references.

- 1. Applicant has included several documents for consideration by the PTO. These references have not been considered for the following reasons.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 3. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 4. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 5. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 as set forth above. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,983 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

1. Applicant has included several documents for consideration by the PTO. These references have not been considered for the following reasons.

- 2. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 3. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 4. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 5. The information disclosure statement filed 04/17/2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 as set forth above. It has been placed

Application/Control Number: 10/664,983

Art Unit: 3746

in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Page 3